SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
In re	Chapter 11
KOLLEL MATEH EFRAIM, LLC, a/k/a MATEH EPHRAIM LLC, a/k/a KOLEL MATEH EFRAIM	Case No. 04-16410 (SMB)
Debtor.	
X	

APPELLANT'S DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES FOR APPEAL

Kollel Match Efraim, a/k/a Match Ephraim LLC, a/k/a Kolel Match Efraim ("Debtor" or "Appellant"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8006, for its designation of items to be included in the record and statement of the issues for appeal, states as follows:

DESIGNATION OF ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD

Exhibit Number	ECF Docket Number	Description
1	54	Transcript of Hearing held on July 20, 2005
2	25	Helen-May Holdings, LLC's ("HMH") Motion to Convert or Dismiss
3	26	Debtor's Objection to HMH's Motion to Convert or Dismiss
4	27	Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization
5	28	Debtor's Disclosure Statement
6	29	Debtor's Motion to Shorten Time and to Consolidate Confirmation Hearing with Disclosure Statement Hearing
7	33	HMH's Reply to Debtor's Objections to Motion to Convert or Dismiss

8	34	Affidavit of Gerald Orseck in further support of HMH's Motion to Convert or Dismiss
9	35	Scheduling Order
10	36	HMH's Objection to Debtor's Disclosure Statement
11	45	HMH's Motion for Relief from Stay and for Use and Occupancy
12	50	Debtor's Objection to Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay and Related Relief
13	67	Transcript of Hearing/Trial held on December 5, 2005
14	68	HMH's Notice Of Settlement of Order re 12/5/05 Hearing
15	69	Order, signed 3/28/06 re 12/5/05 Hearing
16	102	HMH's Notice of Settlement of Order Granting Adequate Protection re 7/20/05 Hearing
17	103	HMH's Letter to Judge Bernstein re Notice of Settlement of Order Granting Adequate Protection re 7/20/05 Hearing
18	104	Debtor's Designation of Contents and all attachments, re Appeal from Court's Order Denying Motion to Enforce Terms of Settlement, signed March 6, 2007
19	107	Debtor's Objection to HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order regarding Adequate Protection
20	109	HMH's Response to Debtor's Objection to HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order regarding Adequate Protection
21	112	HMH's Notice of Settlement of Order re Adequate Protection
22	116	Debtor's Objection to HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order regarding Adequate Protection
23	118	HMH's Response to Debtor's Objection to HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order regarding Adequate Protection
24	119	Order signed on 4/25/07 regarding Adequate Protection
25	121	Notice of Appeal from Court's Order regarding Adequate Protection, signed 4/25/07
26	123	Stipulation extending Time of Debtor/Appellant to file Designation of Record to May 29, 2007
27	124	So Ordered Stipulation and Order signed 5/14/07, extending Time of Debtor/Appellant to file Designation of Record to May 29, 2007

, re Appeal	from
Judgment	
Proposed	
otice of	
t of Notice	of
Settlement o	of
Order	
Presentmer	nt of
Notice of	
entment of	
otice of	
d Order	
port of nd Judgmen	ıt
7 Rejecting	
sdier	
and enter Se	cond
otion to	
and enter S	Se

47	179	Debtor's Objection to HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order and Judgment
48	181	HMH's Response to Debtor's Objection ti HMH's Notice of Presentment of Proposed Order and Judgment
49	184	Debtor's Response to HMH's Reply in further support of Notice of Presentment of Proposed Judgment
50	186	Order and Judgment signed on 8/10/07
51	187	HMH's Letter to Judge Bernstein responding to Debtor
52	189	Notice of Appeal from Court's Order and Judgment regarding Adequate Protection

Document 2

STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE PRESENTED ON APPEAL

- 1. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in awarding HMH a judgment in the aggregate sum of \$245,779.00 in retroactive adequate protection payments as of July 1, 2005, since HMH should bear the risk for the unauthorized conduct of its counsel, Gerald Orseck, in entering into the July 20, 2005 settlement on the record in open Court between HMH and the Debtor?
- Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred in awarding HMH monthly adequate 2. protection payments in the aggregate amount of \$13,553.00, since the Court's finding was predicated exclusively on the surprise testimony of Gene Barbanti at the July 20, 2005 hearing which deprived the Debtor of its due process?

The Appellant reserves the right to modify, restate and/or supplement the foregoing designation of items and statement of issues to the fullest extent permitted by the Bankruptcy Code and Rules.

Dated: New York, New York August 30, 2007

BACKENROTH FRANKEL & KRINSKY LLP

By: /s/ Scott Krinsky

Scott Krinsky (SK-2049) 489 Fifth Avenue, 28th Floor New York, New York 10017

Tel: (212) 593-1100 Fax: (212) 644-0544